Substance Misuse Prevention Oversight and Advisory Council (SMPC) March 2025 Meeting Minutes rch 24, 2025 Mtg. Facilitator: Nicole Rau Mitiguy

Date: March 24, 2025
Time: 1-3 PM
Mtg. Facilitator: Nicole Rau Mitiguy
Mtg. Recorder: Nicole Rau Mitiguy
Where: Microsoft Teams

| | Name | Organization and Role | | |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|
| X | Dr Mark Levine | Department of Health, Chair | | |
| Χ | Amy Brewer | Northwestern Medical Center, Vice Chair | | |
| | Mourning Fox | Department of Public Safety, Executive Committee Member | | |
| Χ | Monica Hutt | Agency of Administration, Executive Committee Member | | |
| Χ | Kimberley Diemond | Big Brother Big Sister, Member | | |
| Χ | Kheya Ganguly | Department of Mental Health, Member | | |
| | Skyler Genest | Department of Liquor and Lottery, Member | | |
| Χ | Cindy Hayford | Deerfield Valley Community Partnership, Member | | |
| Χ | Maryann Morris | The Collaborative, Member | | |
| Χ | Janet Potter | Hartford Middle and High School, Member | | |
| Χ | John Searles, Ph.D. | Department of Health (Retired), Member | | |
| Χ | Stephen Von Sitas | Vermont Judiciary, Member | | |

Substance Misuse Prevention Oversight and Advisory Council (SMPC) March 2025 Meeting Minutes

| Agenda Item | Lead | Minutes | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Welcome, Introductions, and Quorum Determination | Amy Brewer | Thank you Dr. Levine for all of your work with the SMPC and the Health Department, and for your advocacy of prevention! | | |
| Review and Approve January 2025 Minutes | Nicole Rau Mitiguy | January minutes approved as written | | |
| Legislative Overview and Updates | Dr. Levine, Julie Hurlburd, James Pepper | We have now come to the legislative and financial cross-over point in the legislative session. An updated bill tracker will be sent to the SMPC to indicate which substance related bills have made it from one chamber to the next. Though not all bills have made it through cross-over, this is the start of a new biennium, which means any active bills can continue into the next session. Cannabis Control Board: Advertising Suit: The plaintiff in this lawsuit—a licensed cannabis retail establishment—alleges his business is suffering irreparable harm by the CCB's enforcement of potentially unconstitutional provisions of the cannabis advertising laws. He requested the court issue a temporary injunction enjoining the CCB from enforcing the law while the courts rule on the constitutional question. The court denied this request and set its next hearing for mid-April. It is our understanding that the plaintiff will be appealing the denial to the Vermont Supreme Court in the near future. Given that most commercial free speech jurisprudence involve the 1st Amendment of the US Constitution, which is inapplicable here given the illegality of cannabis at the federal level, the courts will have to determine the scope of commercial free speech in the context of a state licensed cannabis business under Article 13 of the Vermont Constitution. H. 321: The bill was approved by the House on Tuesday, March 25th and is on its way to the Senate now. It may interest the SMPC that the bill includes a request for a Chief Enforcement Officer, which would be an attorney position at the Board. Currently the Board has one attorney, our General Counsel. As the market matures, predictably, compliance workloads have increased, and this role would have an essential | | |

Substance Misuse Prevention Oversight and Advisory Council (SMPC)

March 2025 Meeting Minutes

| Agenda Item Lead | | Minutes | | |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | role ensuring that the Board is able to act consistently and quickly when compliance issues arise. Further, this role is important for the quasi-judicial role of the Board when a licensee appeals and action of the compliance or licensing teams. In those cases, to ensure proceedings are conducted correctly, it is important for the Board's General Counsel guide the Board, and the Chief Enforcement Officer present the compliance/licensing team's case. I am happy to expand on that further, if needed. • The bill also proposes changes to the Board's Rule Making authority for retail siting, incorporating considerations for regional population and community input. While the Legislature reviews this change, the Board plans to maintain a hold on retail siting rule changes and keep the licensing window for retail establishments closed. When we revisit this section of the rules, public health impact data and knowledge from this group will be crucial. So, more updates to follow on that. • Excise Tax and Prevention Funding: In previous years, the 30% prevention funding was calculated after deducting CCB's operational expenses from the cannabis regulation fund. This year's budget proposes a significant shift, with the 30% being calculated from the total excise tax revenue, not after deducting the Board's operational expenses. Further the budget proposes developing a special fund to hold these monies in the Department of Health for use in prevention work. • Rule Making: The CCB proposed changes to all four of its rules in January 2025. Public comments were accepted through February 28th, with the public hearing held on February 20th. The CCB received roughly 300 pages of written feedback and a number of verbal comments during the Feb 20th hearing. This includes numerous informative comments from public health and prevention advocates, which are greatly appreciated. We talked about only a few of those with you this week and I've given a little synopsis below. If there are others that folks are interested in hearing more on, | | |

Substance Misuse Prevention Oversight and Advisory Council (SMPC)

March 2025 Meeting Minutes

| March 2020 Mocking Minacos | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Agenda Item Lead Minutes | | | | | | | | |
| | | adaptable safety standards. Age Verification: The Board has proposed a change to this rule as well. Currently, rules require age verification at entry and at purchase for all customers. The Board has proposed visual ID checks for those entering the establishment, with required verification for those who appear under 27 (based on tobacco law). Age verification would still be required at the time of purchase for all customers. This change aims to accommodate staffing structures in cannabis retail establishments, which may face challenges due to low unemployment rates and may only have one staff member on duty, making double ID checking difficult. This proposed change is still under consideration. | | | | | | |
| Vermont Prevention Lead Organization and Tobacco Grantee Overview | Traci Sawyers, Jen Pistole, Tanya Wells | The presentation can be found in the Meeting Materials. | | | | | | |
| Public Comment | Nicole Rau Mitiguy | • None | | | | | | |

| Next Steps or Assigned Tasks | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| Task | Responsible | Due Date |
| No tasks identified. | | |