**RPP Strategy Proposal Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RPP Strategy Proposed:**  | RPP Strategy |
| **RPP Grant #:** | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Date of Review:**  | Click or tap to enter a date. |
| **Reviewer Name:**  | Click or tap here to enter text. |

*Please review the applicant’s responses to the form questions. Are the answers complete? Do they contextualize the following points?*

* *Conceptual fit with the community’s logic model: Does the candidate intervention target the identified problem and the underlying factors that drive or contribute to changes in the problem or outcomes?*
* *Practical fit with the community’s needs, resources, and readiness to act: Is the candidate intervention appropriate for the particular population, cultural context, and set of local circumstances?*
* *Evidence of effectiveness: Is there sufficient evidence or support for documented effectiveness to select the intervention and include it in the comprehensive community prevention plan?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Score | Question |  Notes |
| 0-2 | 1. Which RPP goal(s) does this strategy address?  | Details |
| 0-2 | 2. Which risk and/or protective factor(s) does this strategy address?* Contextualizes data for:
	+ Substance use, risk and protective factors assessment
 | Details |
| 0-8 | 3. Why are you proposing this strategy? * References the RPP Strategy Menu and specific gaps
* Clearly justifies why they need a different strategy
 | Details |
| 0-13 | 4. Please provide results of the assessment you have done to determine your region’s needs, current resources, and readiness for the proposed strategy. This includes your responses to the utility and feasibility checks in resources, #1.* Provides results and contextualizes data for:
	+ Utility check
	+ Feasibility check
 | Details |
| 0-13 | 5a. What is the evidence base for the proposed strategy?* Selected one of evidence-based options:
* Option A:

*Included in Federal registries of evidence-based interventions as effective or promising** + - Includes relevant link(s) or documents
		- Strategy fits the target population
		- Appropriate to assessment done
	+ Option B: *Reported (with positive effects on the primary targeted outcome) in peer-reviewed journals*
		- Includes relevant link(s)
		- Strategy fits the target population
		- Appropriate to assessment done
	+ Option C:

*Supported by sources of information (other than Federal registries or peer-reviewed journals) and the consensus judgment of informed experts** + - Guideline 1: Response documents a theory of change in a clear logic or conceptual model;
		- Guideline 2: Response clearly relates the strategy’s content and structure to strategies that appear in registries and/or the peer-reviewed literature;
		- Guideline 3: Response documents consistent pattern of credible and positive effects

5b. Are you planning to make any modifications to the strategy for implementation in your region?* If modifications to the strategy for implementation were proposed in 5a., description is adequate and reasonable.
 | Details |
| 0-12 | 6. How does your region plan to evaluate and sustain the proposed strategy (include an evaluation plan)? What are your plans to sustain or build the resources necessary to implement the strategy with fidelity (staff, stakeholder, physical, etc.)?* Clearly outlines plan for resource sustainability
* Evaluation plan is provided and is appropriate
 | Details |
| Final Score 0-50 | **0-25**: Proposal is rejected or sent to grantee for revision.**26-50**: Reviewers convene to determine recommended approval, revision, referral to full EBPW for review, or denial of proposal \*Note: If activity is a Question 5 option C activity, the full EBPW will review the application’s fit at the next EBPW quarterly meeting. | Details |
| **Final Recommendation**[ ]  **Accept** proposal as submitted[ ]  **Revise** proposal and resubmit[ ]  **Refer** proposal to Evidence-Based Workgroup[ ]  **Reject** proposal |
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